



April 2012

THE BETTERLEY REPORT

Intellectual Property and Media Liability Insurance Market Survey – 2012

Awareness of Social Media Exposures Brings Media Liability New Attention, While the Lack of Interest in Patent Coverage Continues to Puzzle

Richard S. Betterley, CMC

President

Betterley Risk Consultants, Inc.

Highlights of This Issue

- *Media Liability Market Grows Thanks to Social Media Risk*
- *New Carriers Added to Intellectual Property Section: Liberty International*
- *Hiscox and OneBeacon added to Media Liability Coverage*

Next Issue

June 2012

Cyber and Privacy Insurance Market Survey

The Betterley Report

Editor's Note: *This issue of The Betterley Report continues our new approach to our review of the insurance products available to protect against Intellectual Property (IP) loss, an interesting and highly-specialized type of coverage. While we find the product fascinating (and apparently so do many of our readers, who identify it as one of their most useful Reports), we have wondered about how effectively we can cover it, as there are just a handful of IP coverage sources worldwide.*

When we last covered the IP line in 2011, we found only three sources of Patent Infringement coverage, a sharp decline from the six in 2006. Mainstream carriers were no longer offering coverage; those sources that did offer coverage were not enthused about U.S.-based insureds, and there was little change in those products that remained.

Still, the interest in IP coverage was apparent, and we were reluctant to stop writing about it. These three carriers continue to offer IP coverage, and we have added a fourth, Liberty International. ThinkRisk is a bit of a hybrid and could be considered a fifth market for limited Patent Infringement coverage.

As I thought about the dilemma, I decided to broaden our approach to include other coverages that have an IP component. We have always thought about IP coverage as necessarily including patent infringement, and indeed the few sources of IP insurance tend to include patent. However, IP risk (and potential coverage) includes much more than patent; it can also include coverages such as trademark or copyright infringement, defamation, false light, product disparagement, Reps and Warranties, Asset Backed IP, and Consequential Damages.

Since many of these coverages can be found in a Media Liability policy, our Report now covers both Intellectual Property (IP) insurance and Media Liability (Media) insurance. While Media Liability insurance includes intellectual property risks, it is not Intellectual Property insurance as the term is commonly used.

Our 2011 Media Liability coverage included nine carriers; we have added Hiscox and OneBeacon to our survey, and removed XL, as they are not really a standalone market for Media Liability (although they do continue to offer coverage as a part of other policies, such as Tech E&O).

To try to keep things straight in this Report, we will use the following terms:

- **IP insurance** to describe those coverages that protect an organization against a threat to its own IP (generally known as abatement or enforcement coverage) or an allegation that it infringed upon the rights of another.
- **Media insurance** to describe liability coverage against allegations arising out of communications activities of the organization.

List of Tables

Contact and Product Information	12
Product Description	15
Market Information	20
Limits, Deductibles, Coinsurance, and Commissions	24
Policy Type, Who is Insured, Definition of a Claim	27
Claims Reporting, ERP, Selection of Counsel, Consent to Settle	33
Patent Infringement Abatement Coverage	38
Patent Infringement Defense Coverage	39
Media Liability Coverage	41
Patent Infringement Exclusions	44
Media Liability Exclusions	48
Risk Management Services	56

The Betterley Report

This article is based on our review of leading carriers and their products. As with our other Market Surveys, we asked each of the participants to provide detailed information about their products and market interest. When we felt that their responses were incomplete or confusing, we followed up to clarify their response. While we have asked the carriers to review our tables, the conclusions are our own, and the carriers are not responsible for the information contained herein.

In the use of this material, the reader should understand that the information applies to the standard products of the carriers, and that special arrangements of coverage, cost, and other variables may be available on a negotiated basis. Professional counsel should be sought before any action or decision is made in the use of this information.

For updated information on this and other Betterley Report coverage of specialty insurance products, please see our blog, [The Betterley Report on Specialty Insurance Products](http://www.betterley.com/blog), which can be found at: www.betterley.com/blog.

Introduction

Intellectual property (IP) is a prime asset of many organizations, not only corporations. IP is not just important for large companies; many smaller companies possess as their primary assets the knowledge and skills needed to make their product or provide their services. These companies can be particularly vulnerable to attacks on their IP, as larger, more established (read: deeper pockets) competitors seek to eliminate them. Protecting their company's IP may be their most important responsibility, and the difference between success and failure.

Protecting one's own Intellectual Property isn't our only concern, though, as protecting against accusations that an organization violated the IP of another party is at least as important. U.S. courts are busy hearing cases about alleged copyright or trademark infringement, misappropriation, and defamation or emotional distress.

We pose here the idea that media liability is no longer the concern of just traditional media companies. With the spread of the Web, social networking, and the need to stand out in a crowded and noisy economy, we are all media companies (or, more accurately, engage in activities once thought to be the exclusive presence of the traditional media).

After all, what organization doesn't have a Web presence, employees active on blogs (whether approved or not), or publish a newsletter? Who hasn't read that its intellectual property is one of its most valuable assets? Who hasn't been cautioned that borrowing the ideas or images of another is no longer permissible, and possibly the trigger for an expensive and embarrassing lawsuit?

We continue to see a number of insureds and their insurance brokers looking at coverage for media liabilities arising out of social media activities. As organizations increasingly seek to reach their existing audience, build a new audience, and learn about their marketplace, social media activi-

Companies in This Survey

Patent Coverages

Chartis	IPISC
Liberty International	Samian
ThinkRisk	

Media Coverages

Ace	Allied World
Axis	Beazley
Chartis	Chubb
CNA	Hiscox
OneBeacon	ThinkRisk

The Betterley Report

ties are becoming more and more of a source of risk.

We see this increasing risk arising from a media presence as creating more need for media liability coverages to be made available to the traditional insured that is not customarily thought of as needing media liability insurance. Apparently carriers agree, as they extend their coverage offering more widely into the marketplace.

Interestingly, we are seeing other types of insurance adding media liability coverages to their core product - Cyber and Tech E&O policies in particular. Will there be an ultimate convergence of IP, Media, and Cyber insurance coverages into one big New Era Exposures product?

The IP Insurance Market

Insurance against IP loss continues to be important for smaller and midsized companies with a limited ability to sustain loss. Coverage, if offered, is the province of highly specialized sources such as Lloyd's, Liberty International, and ProSight Specialty, with two exceptions:

- Chartis provides patent infringement indemnity coverage for insureds domiciled in the U.S. This is the only large carrier that we know of participating broadly in the IP insurance market, and represents a return of a carrier that was a major player in earlier versions of the coverage.
- ThinkRisk (Great American) offers coverage for infringement of design patent for the aesthetic design of products.

The challenges in underwriting IP insurance have made this coverage more difficult to acquire than in the first half of the decade. Still, the need to protect against losses arising out of intellectual property remains.

We did a quick survey of the reasons why Patent Infringement insurance has such a low penetration rate. One response that struck us was that buying Patent Infringement seemed complex, time consuming, and at the end, frustrating to potential insureds and their agents or brokers. Patent coverage, and IP insurance in general, won't be widely bought until this hurdle is overcome. Despite the laudable marketing efforts of companies such as Intellectual Property Insurance Services Corp. (led by Bob Fletcher, IPISC's founder and the originator of the first ever Intellectual Property Infringement Abatement policy), IP insurance is still a challenge for agents and brokers.

But, there is huge potential in this market; we agree with Bob Parisi, the National Practice Leader for Tech/Telecom E&O and Network Risk at insurance broker Marsh when he told us: *"Whoever figures out how to sell truly broad patent liability coverage at a reasonable price will have more business than they know what to do with."*

The relatively low limits of IP insurance available are a continuing challenge for the market, as many potential insureds see these as not enough to really protect them against IP risk. Most IP carriers are focused on smaller insureds; although the IP market has limited capacity, there are meaningful limits worth considering.

Improperly using the IP of another company can get expensive fast. A company that infringes, or is even alleged to have infringed, on the IP of a competitor is likely to be threatened with lawsuits that are at best expensive to defend. Data are hard to find about the costs of defending an allegation of patent infringement, but attorney's fees and related costs can easily reach seven figures (or more).

And yet, not all Patent litigation ends up as badly as headlines would have us think (and which

The Betterley Report

may be scaring off potential insurance capacity). In a 2011 blog post entitled “Patent Litigation: Have the Results Been Worth It?” consulting firm IPmetrics commented:

Considering the 284 patent damages verdicts since 2005, the first fact to note is that in 27% of cases the damages award is \$0 (zero). Furthermore, up to 37% of cases end with a recovery of less than \$250,000. Conversely, two out of every three patent damages awards are for more than \$250,000, but 48% are for less than the average cost of litigation mentioned above (\$2 million). As the graph shows, multi-million dollar awards are not rare, but only 2% are above half a billion.

For further reading on this interesting analysis: <http://ipmetrics.net/blog/2011/04/29/have-patent-litigation-damages-awards-been-worth-it/>

The American Intellectual Property Law Association in Arlington, VA produces a valuable re-

port on the estimated total cost of patent infringement litigation. This total includes the estimate of the litigation costs (*i.e.*, attorneys, defense-related expenses, analytical testing) in three different bands of potential damages (*i.e.*, the claimed loss from the past infringement combined with the projected loss should the infringement continue).

This report does not indicate how much of the cost was incurred by the defendant, but it does give us a sense of the magnitude of the issues involved.

Even if the defendant is “right,” it can be put out of business just by the cost of litigation, and the fear of investors or customers that it may not win. The need to defend an alleged infringement can distract management’s attention, and dissuade investors. Even a successful defense can result in business failure. Many well-entrenched companies will attempt to defeat new competitors by challenging their patents; rather than compete in the marketplace, they are competing in court.

AIPLA 2011 Report of the Economic Survey

Litigation Type	Amount in Controversy	Costs Through End of Discovery	Costs Through End of Trial
Patent		\$490,000	\$916,000
		\$1.6M	\$2.8M
		\$3.6M	\$6M
Trademark	Under \$1 million	\$214,000	\$402,000
		\$607,000	\$1M
		\$1.2M	\$2.2M
Copyright	\$1-25 million	\$216,000	\$384,000
		\$543,000	\$923,000
		\$1.22M	\$2M
Trade Secret Misappropriation	Over \$25 million	\$303,000	\$521,000
		\$877,000	\$1.6M
		\$1.9M	\$3.2M

This survey is available from the AIPLA at: <http://www.aipla.org/learningcenter/library/books/econsurvey/2011/Pages/default.aspx>

The Betterley Report

An alleged infringer has few options:

- Abandon its IP rights
- Negotiate a license from a position of weakness
- Defend the suit

Patent holders are not the only potential targets of patent infringement lawsuits. Retailers, distributors, and others that contribute to the alleged infringement can be – and often are – sued as participants in the stream of commerce.

IP can be a tough sell for insurance brokers, as the perceived need for IP protection is often challenged by IP lawyers, who may view the involvement of insurance companies in a previously uninsured realm to be restrictive and possibly intrusive. This is unfortunate, since carriers generally are very supportive of their insured's choice of counsel. IP is an extremely specialized area of the law, and the carriers recognize that legal counsel will be expensive.

Need for Specialized IP Coverage

Typical commercial insurance programs (even sophisticated ones) do not cover IP claims. Although some would argue that Advertising Liability provides some coverage, insurance companies believe that they do not cover IP. Thus, an insured, at best, has a difficult time in settling a claim, which is especially damaging for smaller insureds.

Most court cases involving IP coverage in a Commercial General Liability (CGL) policy have ended in victory for the carrier. Most Advertising Liability coverages are written to narrowly focus coverage on actual advertising activity; even piracy coverage only applies when it is committed in the course of advertising products or services.

Since alleged infringement can occur in many situations not involving advertising, it is apparent

that a CGL policy, even with advertising liability coverage, is an ineffective source of coverage.

Another problem with commercial liability coverage is that an infringement can be construed as an intentional act, quickly denied by the GL carrier. Look for intentional acts coverage in an IP policy, with coverage provided at least until the intent is established in fact.

The Media Liability Insurance Market

In contrast to the IP insurance market, media coverage can be bought from numerous carriers, some of which offer products attuned to non-media organizations.

Media Liability coverage is typically written on specialized forms for various industry segments (such as producers, advertising agencies, publishers, and the like) but coverage for 'the rest of us' is also offered.

For example, Chubb offers its MediaGuardSM product to traditional organizations, as well as video and film producers, broadcasters, publishers, and advertising organizations and advertisers.

Most products are written on an occurrence basis, which surprises us, as most specialty liability products are on claims made forms.

Media policies can be extended by adding coverages such as defined professional services and technology professional services, technology products, computer and information security liability, and privacy liability, but on a claims made basis.

ThinkRisk's Converging Risk Liability product is a melding of IP coverage and media liability protection, offering a modular form, with coverage for media and advertising content, tech and miscellaneous E&O, and network security and privacy (first and third party). The content module covers IP claims, such as copyright and trademark in-

fringement and misappropriation of ideas, but excludes infringement of utility patent. Infringement of design patent is available for the aesthetic design of products. Software is treated as media content.

Not many brokers have had an opportunity to develop expertise in media coverages, as the type of insureds may be few and far between in their community. However, as the media business (both traditional and new media) grows beyond the traditional media hubs, we expect to see more local and regional brokers needing to develop expertise in this line.

Social media risk has really caught the attention of employers, particularly as it pertains to employment liability (which we write about in our December issue on Employment Practices Liability Insurance). They are concerned that employees might post harmful remarks about their employer's customers, fellow employees, and the company itself. Or, they could use IP of another without authorization. The list goes on.

Insurance agents and brokers sometimes get confused that Internet activity is the subject of Cyber insurance products. While that assumption is faulty, Cyber (and other) products can be broadened to include social media exposures. Other examples include Tech E&O.

This expansion of Media Liability coverages into other products creates real opportunity for insurers to penetrate non-traditional media markets with coverages that are added onto coverages already being sold to their insureds. Those insureds might well be less likely to incur a media liability claim than the traditional media.

Carriers active in the media market are supporting the growth of this segment expertise, augmenting the efforts of those brokers to service their existing insureds that have new media risks.

STATE OF THE MARKETS

CARRIERS AND COVERAGES - IP

In 2012, we see that IP coverage continues to be a challenge to underwrite profitably. Significant losses have occurred, we understand. Losses are primarily a severity problem, rather than frequency driven.

The U.S. market is particularly difficult for IP insurers, because of the high frequency and cost of litigation. Note that not all products can be written for U.S. insureds (please see the Product Description and Target Markets tables for specifics, as sometimes a non-U.S. company with U.S. exposure can still be underwritten).

Real limits available have grown somewhat since our last Report; defense policy limits of up to \$25 million are reported, and First-party limits of \$40 million have been placed. Not easily, though.

The IP insurance market currently offers three basic types of IP policies:

- First-party IP coverage, which can protect the value of an insured's direct loss sustained when its revenue streams are diminished from a direct and resultant impact upon its IP rights. Similar to a Business Interruption cover.
- IP Defense Cost (so-called "Defense" coverage), which protects a company against allegations that it improperly used the IP of another.
- IP Abatement Coverage (so-called "Offense" or "Enforcement" coverage), which funds an attack on a party that improperly uses the insured's IP.

IP Abatement coverage is available from only two markets – IPISC and Samian. Since Abatement coverage is only attractive to a limited market, most carriers may view it as a niche product line and outside their interest. It strikes us that this

The Betterley Report

is a product that requires very specialized underwriting, and will always be a niche product, but a useful one nevertheless.

When considering which carrier to use, keep in mind that each product is unique, so coverage terms should be the deciding point. However, also keep in mind that IP is a very complex product to underwrite, requiring great skill and knowledge of IP law and business.

VOLUME - IP

The amount of IP premium written is still small, although carriers are reluctant to tell us exactly how much they write. In fact, carriers will not even tell us about changes in their volume; we suspect that the market is growing somewhat, as the fear of litigation encourages additional coverage purchases (despite the severe recession).

To the extent there is growth, it continues to be in Defense products. This coverage is likely to be more familiar, and thus easier to underwrite, than Enforcement and First-party coverage. Certainly, legal counsel is generally more concerned with defending against major claims, less interested in funding a pursuit of infringers.

One reason for the growth in Defense coverage is the perception by senior management and investors that smaller companies are very vulnerable to larger competitors that use the legal system to defeat (or cripple) them. Without adequate financial and management resources, court battles over IP rights can tie up and destroy a company. Wise investors, particularly in technology startups, make sure that their companies have the wherewithal to defend against IP attacks. Defense IP coverage is a good way to fund a defense against such attacks.

Having said this, there is still interest in Enforcement coverage, and we expect it will continue to grow.

CLAIMS EXPERIENCE - IP

There is little data in the public domain about claims experience, and with relatively small amounts of premium being written, loss ratios are not meaningful.

Most of our claims information is anecdotal; there have been a number of insured claims, and some of them are significant (\$1 million +). We will try to gather additional information for future studies.

In the Enforcement coverage side, there has been some limited claims activity. Since this is a very specialized product, we would not expect many claims.

CARRIERS AND COVERAGES - MEDIA

Media liability coverages are available from a number of leading carriers. As noted, it is generally written on an occurrence basis, but claims made is also found. The following general areas of coverage are common (this paraphrased from the Chartis product description):

For **producers** of multimedia content:

- Defense costs, settlements and judgments in the broad range of E&O and media liability claims arising out of professional film and production services.
- Preparation, publication, advertising, release, broadcast, telecast, exhibition, sale, licensing or distribution of named productions.
- Protection for numerous perils, including trademark infringement; copyright infringement; defamation; false light; product disparagement; infliction of emotional distress; and invasion of privacy.
- Damages include punitive, exemplary and multiple damages to the extent permitted by law.

The Betterley Report

For **content distributors**:

- Responds to claims arising out of all media distributed by the Insured, including advertising materials. No list of covered media is required.
- Protection for numerous perils, including trademark infringement; copyright infringement; defamation; false light; false imprisonment; product disparagement; infliction of emotional distress; negligence in the quality of material; loss based on the reliance on material; outrageous conduct; and invasion of privacy.
- Damages include punitive, exemplary and multiple damages to the extent permitted by law.

For **publishers and broadcasters** in addition to the above coverages:

- Coverage for the media exposures of publishing and broadcasting companies, from risks related to news reports and podcasts to content fed via wireless devices.

VOLUME – MEDIA

Unlike IP, there is a fair amount of media liability insurance sold, although far less than in some other specialty lines. We have heard estimates of \$200 million in the U.S., perhaps another \$50 million non-U.S. (which we understand is mostly in the United Kingdom).

We suspect that much of the media market is untapped risk, self-assumed by large organizations that can afford to self-insure, or ignored by small organizations that don't think they are exposed.

The market opportunity would seem to be in selling to those non-traditional publishers that are active on the Web. What we haven't figured out is whether this growth will occur within the Media Liability coverage line or under something else, such as Cyber.

CLAIMS EXPERIENCE - MEDIA

We have heard of some very large media liability claims, but generally that there is not a lot of frequency in this area. The big risk is a media battle over a reporter's confidential sources or a dispute over inappropriate use of content alleged to be owned by another.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS - IP

Unless noted, the balance of our comments relate to Defense or Enforcement products (that is, not First Party) for IP products.

WHO IS COVERED

Keeping in mind that there are two types of coverage (Enforcement and Defense), most policies cover the usual insureds:

- Corporate Entity
- Directors
- Officers
- Stockholders
- Employees

Other parties, such as distributors, can be named in suits, so it is important to identify them if you wish to extend Defense coverage.

WHAT IS COVERED

Enforcement Coverage

Enforcement policies typically cover the cost of attacking a third-party infringer, including:

- IP infringement suits brought by the insured against third parties for infringement initiated during the policy period
- The cost to defend against countersuits alleging that the insured's patent is invalid

The Betterley Report

- Costs to reexamine the insured's patent in the Patent Office, if the defendant tries to invalidate the patent
- Costs to reissue the patent, if required to strengthen the claim

Defense Coverage

This more traditional coverage protects the insured against allegations that it has infringed upon the IP of another. Coverage usually includes:

- Defense costs
- Settlements, judgments and other expenses, including prejudgment interest

Judgments and settlements might include compensation for a claimant's lost profits or royalties, and arise out of manufacture, use, distribution, advertising, or sale of an infringing product or process.

Summary

Why isn't more Intellectual Property insurance bought? Frankly, we are puzzled. The business press is full of stories about IP litigation, and there are enough big cases and awards that surely senior management, directors, and investors must be concerned. And they are – but this concern often does not extend to buying insurance protection.

Is it because the limits of coverage available aren't high enough to protect large companies against a catastrophic loss? Because negotiating coverage is cumbersome (carriers are understand-

ably cautious about insuring IP risk)? Because IP counsel does not think insurance is necessary (or does not want to lose control over litigation to an insurance company)? Coverage isn't seen as necessary because our IP rights are strong? Or is the coverage just not well known?

We're not sure, but we think it may be a combination of all five. Hopefully IP insurance will become more regularly considered a part of an insured's basic insurance protection, leading to a market that is broad, reasonably priced, and able to withstand an occasional large hit. It may take a while for this to come about; we continue to watch with anticipation.

Media Liability products have a much more certain future, perhaps because the insurance industry has a deeper underwriting and claims experience. The expansion of Media Liability products to Cyber, Tech E&O, Management Liability, and package policies presents a great opportunity to provide protection where it wasn't provided before.

The IP liability world is much newer and the rules of engagement are still being defined. The value of the issues being litigated is much greater, even compared with the very big cases we sometimes see in media litigation. Too, organizations can see that their employees are active in social media.

We'll keep an eye on Intellectual Property and Media Liability insurance as both coverages become more commonly purchased.

The Betterley Report



About The Author

Richard S. Betterley, CMC, is the President of Betterley Risk Consultants, an independent insurance and alternative risk management consulting firm. BRC, founded in 1932, provides independent advice and counsel on insurable risk, coverage, alternatives to traditional insurance, and related services to corporations, educational institutions, and other organizations throughout the U.S. It does not sell insurance or related services.

Rick is a frequent speaker, author, and expert witness on specialty insurance products and related services. He is a member of the Professional Liability Underwriting Society and the Institute of Management Consultants. He joined the firm in 1975.

Rick created The Betterley Report in 1994 to be the objective source of information about specialty insurance products. Now published 6 times annually, The Betterley Report is known for its in-depth coverage of Management Liability, Cyber Risk, Privacy, and Intellectual Property and Media insurance products.

More recently, Rick created The Betterley Report Blog on Specialty Insurance Products, which offers readers updates on and insight into insurance products such as those covered in The Betterley Report. It provides him with a platform to more frequently and informally comment on product updates and newly announced products, as well as trends in the specialty insurance industry. www.betterley.com/blog

The Betterley Report

Data Included in the Complete Report

You are reading the *Executive Summary* of this Market Survey. The *complete report for subscribers* includes numerous tables, such as:

- Contact Details, including:
 - Name and Title of Product Manager or (equivalent)
 - Mailing Address
 - Phone #
 - Email
- Target Markets for Each Carrier
 - Size
 - Industry Sector
 - Prohibited Industries
 - Prohibited States
- Summary Information about Each Product
- Limits, Deductibles, and Commissions
- Key Policy Terms, Definitions and Exclusions
- Risk Management Services

The Betterley Report is distributed by International Risk Management Institute, Inc. (IRMI) and may be accessed by subscribers on IRMI Online. To order this report, or the five other reports, call IRMI Client Services at (800) 827-4242 or visit

www.IRMI.com/Products.

The Betterley Report

The Betterley Report, your independent guide to specialty insurance products, is a series of six comprehensive reports published annually. Each report exhaustively reviews a single hot specialty insurance product, providing essential information such as:

- Who are the leading carriers?
- Complete contact information
- Target and prohibited markets
- Capacity, deductibles, and commission ranges
- Sample premiums (where available)
- Critical coverage and claims differences
- Exclusionary language
- Risk Management services

The Betterley Reports are produced annually, and range from 50 to 175 pages in length. Current analyses include:

- Cyber and Privacy Risk Policies
- Technology Risk Insurance
- Employment Practices Liability Insurance (EPLI)
- Private Company Management Liability
- Side A D & O Liability
- Intellectual Property and Media Liability

The Betterley Reports are a huge timesaver for busy risk management professionals who need to be up-to-date on insurance products for their clients. Need to identify and evaluate the coverage, capacity and contacts for your clients? Need the best analysis of leading edge insurance products? We've done the ground work for you!

The Betterley Report is distributed by International Risk Management Institute, Inc. (IRMI) and may be accessed by subscribers on IRMI Online. To purchase a subscription, call IRMI Client Services at (800) 827-4242 or [learn more on IRMI.com](http://www.irmi.com).

Betterley Risk Consultants is an independent insurance and alternative risk management consulting firm. Founded in 1932, it provides independent advice and counsel to corporations, educational institutions, and other organizations throughout the U.S. It does not sell insurance nor provide insurance-related services.

Betterley Risk Consultants, Inc.
Thirteen Loring Way • Sterling, Massachusetts 01564- 2465
Phone (978) 422-3366 • Fax (978) 422-3365
Toll Free (877) 422-3366
e-mail rbetterley@betterley.com

The editor has attempted to ensure that the information in each issue is accurate at the time it was obtained. Opinions on insurance, financial, legal, and regulatory matters are those of the editor and others; professional counsel should be consulted before any action or decision based on this matter is taken. Note: all product names referred to herein are the properties of their respective owners.

The Betterley Report is published six times yearly by Betterley Risk Consultants, Inc. This material is copyrighted, with all rights reserved. ISSN 1089-0513