



# THE BETTERLEY REPORT

## Technology Errors & Omissions Market Survey – 2013:

### *Rates Fairly Flat, More Carriers, and More Insureds*

Richard S. Betterley, CMC  
President  
Betterley Risk Consultants, Inc.

#### Highlights of This Issue

- Rates are Stable, Counter to the Trend in Other Lines
- New Carriers Added to Our Survey - Capitol/Specialty Global and Liberty International
- No Consensus on the Size of the Market

#### Next Issue

*April*

*Intellectual Property and Media Liability Market Survey 2013*

# Like What You See in this Executive Summary?



You won't believe the value in the full reports.  
Now Available on **IRMI Online®** and **ReferenceConnect™**

*The Betterley Report* provides insightful insurer analysis on these six markets and coverage lines:



- Cyber/Privacy/Media Liability
- Technology Errors & Omissions
- Employment Practices Liability Insurance
- Side A D&O Liability Insurance
- Private Company Management Liability Insurance
- Intellectual Property and Media Liability Insurance

Each annual report provides a comprehensive review (50 to 175 pages) with numerous exhibits of the critical differences in insurers' coverage, market appetite, and capacity.

**You save valuable time** because *The Betterley Report* has done the groundwork for you, providing practical information in a fully searchable online format.

What do you think this dedicated research team and related market analysis is worth to you and your team? Well, you are going to be pleasantly surprised when you see how we've priced it for you.



**Agents and Brokers**—Sell more and grow revenue by pinpointing errors in competitors' policies/proposals.

**Risk Managers and Insurance Buyers**—Identify, eliminate, or avoid coverage gaps with coverage comparison charts.

**Underwriters**—Research competitors with quick policy comparisons.

**Attorneys**—Keep up with year-to-year trends in policy form development.

**Consultants**—Identify markets and match them up to your clients' needs.

See more  
benefits and read  
Executive Summaries  
of each report at  
[www.IRMI.com/Go/3](http://www.IRMI.com/Go/3).

# The Betterley Report

---

**Editor's Note:** In this issue of The Betterley Report, we present our thirteenth evaluation of Technology Errors & Omissions insurance, in which we review twenty-seven of the leading carriers active in the market (up from twenty-four in our 2012 Survey). Tech E&O is not immune from the continuing expansion of carriers into the specialty insurance segment, and carriers look to this expanding opportunity to help fuel their growth.

For 2013, we have resumed coverage of Admiral, while Capitol/Specialty Global and Liberty International Underwriters have been added. Chartis is back to listing under its AIG name, and Safeonline has transferred its underwriting into a new vehicle (Ascent Underwriting), so you will find their

product now under that listing.

While each insurance carrier was contacted in order to obtain this information, we have tested their responses against our own experience and knowledge. Where they conflict, we have reviewed the inconsistencies with the carriers. However, the evaluation and conclusions are our own.

Rather than reproduce their exact policy wording (which can be voluminous), in many cases we have paraphrased their wording, in the interest of space and simplicity. Of course, the insurance policies govern the coverage provided, and the carriers are not responsible for our interpretation of their policies or survey responses.

In the use of this material, the reader should understand that the information applies to the standard products of the carriers, and that special arrangements of coverage, cost, and other variables may be available on a negotiated basis. Professional counsel should be sought before any action or decision is made in the use of this information.

As a reminder, we offer our further commentary on several coverage features under the heading **Betterley Comment**.

For updated information on this and other Betterley Report coverage of specialty insurance products, please see our blog, The Betterley Report on Specialty Insurance Products, which can be found at: [www.betterley.com/blog](http://www.betterley.com/blog)

## List of Tables

<a href="#">Contact and Product Information</a>	11
<a href="#">Target Markets</a>	17
<a href="#">Limits, Deductibles, and Commissions</a>	20
<a href="#">Privacy Breach of Own Data</a>	22
<a href="#">Privacy Breach of Client Data</a>	28
<a href="#">Media Liability</a>	30
<a href="#">Policy Type and Definition of Insured</a>	36
<a href="#">Definition of Products and Services</a>	51
<a href="#">Definition of Damages</a>	65
<a href="#">Other Policy Definitions</a>	78
<a href="#">Definition of Defense Expenses</a>	90
<a href="#">Claims Reporting, ERP, Selection of Counsel, Consent to Settle</a>	95
<a href="#">Prior Acts</a>	107
<a href="#">Coverage Territory</a>	109
<a href="#">Exclusions</a>	111
<a href="#">Risk Management Services</a>	136

## Introduction

Coverage for the liability arising out of the design and manufacturing of technology-related products, the creation and implementation of software, and the provision of related services, is a growing business, with specialty coverages designed to cover the Errors and Omissions liability that may not be covered under traditional liability policies. Tech E&O coverages can be purchased for technology consultants, systems integrators, application service providers, Internet service providers, Internet retailers, cloud services providers, network electronics manufacturers, medical technology manufacturers, and telecom companies. With a wide variety of coverages available, and each written on a non-standard form, insureds and their advisors can be confused and bewildered at the choices.

Coverage for Breach of Data Privacy continues to be the hot topic in Tech E&O product discussions, as both service providers and site owners grow increasingly anxious about loss of data. While most of the news has been about data breaches suffered by site owners, technology ser-

vice providers have been – or ought to be – concerned about their own exposures. When we surveyed our readers recently, over ninety-five per cent of the respondents listed Cyber Risk and Technology coverage as ‘highly interesting’ or ‘somewhat interesting’. We think that privacy risk (and coverage) drives this interest.

There is confusion in the marketplace about Data Privacy coverage for service providers. This coverage is generally referred to as Tech E&O and covers the professional liability exposure of the service provider. But what about the data breach that is not the fault of the service provider, but that involves client data that they control?

Our new approach, adopted in 2012, makes the information presented more helpful to the readers. Our goal is to clarify the coverages for each of the three types of risk.

A service provider’s data breach risk can arise from any or all of the following:

- Its failure to prevent a breach of their client’s data, which is a third-party exposure and should be covered under an E&O form,
- A breach of their own data, which would not be covered under E&O and is a first-party cover just like data breach coverage is in a Cyber policy, and
- A breach of client data while in its possession, perhaps through a network breach, theft of a laptop, or similar means

Some carriers and brokers seem to assume that a claim for any client data breach is a result of a professional error or omission and therefore covered by the basic Tech E&O policy. Our concern,

### Companies in This Survey

The full report includes a list of 27 markets for this coverage, along with underwriter contact information, and gives you a detailed analysis of distinctive features of each carrier’s offerings. [Learn more about \*The Betterley Report\*, and subscribe on IRMI.com.](#)

though, is that coverage for breach of data that is not a result of an error or omission might also be needed.

Take for example a situation in which the tech provider finds that some of their client data has been breached. Wouldn't the client expect the provider to arrange (or at least pay for) the response? Wouldn't the provider want to step in and make the client whole? And wouldn't that help reduce the chance of an E&O claim? We think the answer is 'yes' to all of these questions.

For more on this topic, please see our discussion on Data Privacy beginning on page 6.

Tech E&O policy provisions should always be reviewed in connection with the insured's CGL policy provisions, especially with respect to new or emerging exposures of concern. Some carrier markets offer coordinated E&O and CGL coverage, whereas other markets may offer monoline E&O only. Coverage not provided or excluded by an E&O policy may well be addressed by the CGL. Given the complexity of the coverage choices, a good insurance broker can offer a lot of useful advice to prospective insureds, and their value in negotiating coverage is not to be underestimated.

### *New and Interesting*

**Increasing Premium Volume as the Tech sector recovers** – Although rates are stable or slowly rising, the exposure base increases attributable to the tech sector recovery is accelerating the trend toward higher Gross Written Premiums. However, individual carriers are having a harder time increasing their Gross Written as more carriers slice up the market.

**Demand by Third Parties Continues to Drive the Market** - Technology product and services providers, the audience for this coverage, is seeing greatly increased demand for proof of pri-

vacy insurance by their business partners. These clients are concerned about technology risk, and want proof that their vendors are covered. Proper coverage and significant limits are required by new or existing vendors if they want to do business with many organizations.

We think that this demand will be a strong force in growing the market for Technology E&O products. While historically many technology companies have been reluctant to buy coverage, the demand by clients to buy it or perhaps lose a valuable business relationship will greatly expand this market. As more and more data is held by multiple parties, the desire for protection is increasing—a positive for the market segment.

**Increased Interest by Risk Management Service Providers in Supporting Technology E&O Insurers** – As in the Cyber/Privacy market segment, risk management service providers are seeing that they can greatly extend their reach by providing their services through an insurance policy. We think that it is a natural fit for carriers to identify quality vendors that can help the insureds avoid data breaches, and to provide cost-effective responses if a breach occurs.

### *State of The Market*

Annual premium volume information about the Tech E&O market is hard to come by. As in years past, we surveyed participating Tech E&O product managers, asking them for a range of gross written premiums for U.S.-based insureds and for non-U.S.-based insureds.

Several key carriers will not provide information about their own premium volume, so we are concerned about the reliability of any premium estimates. Growth is clearly happening, though, as insureds and their business partners see the need for coverage in a world where litigation al-



## The Betterley Report

---

leging technology errors or omissions or data breach is all too common, but that growth is being shared by more insurers.

Only seven carriers responded with information about premium volume.

When asked about the carrier's estimate of the total Gross Written Premium in the U.S., the responses were of such a wide range as to be unusable. Of the seven (which included several, but not all, of the largest carriers), we heard:

- \$300 million
- \$550 million
- \$900 million
- \$1 billion (twice)
- \$3 billion

We suspect that the carriers themselves don't know. If the holdouts would share their information with us (confidentially), we would all be better off. We do respect the reluctance to share proprietary information, though.

Non-U.S. premium estimates were even more difficult to come by. Our participants mostly answered 'don't know,' although the range of those that did was \$500 million to \$2 billion. Again, we have no confidence in these estimates.

Data on premium growth and rate direction was better reported. Established carriers seemed to be experiencing premium growth in the range of 5 – 10%, with one standout reporting almost 30% growth (this is a small market carrier). Some of the growth is likely a result of the improving U.S. economy, but we believe that growth is also coming from additional requirements for proof of insurance required by customer contracts.

It is also likely that there is much more premium written in the more traditional markets, but it is not being reported as Tech E&O. We continue to expect that there are many more potential insureds that need Tech E&O, but are either not aware of its existence, or underestimate its value. This is likely to be true for the smaller service firms.

We asked carriers about the health and interest of the reinsurance market that supports Tech E&O products, and they generally reported that reinsurers still like the product. Stable or increasing interest in Tech E&O product support was reported by the responding carriers, although reinsurers are asking more probing questions about the risks accepted than in the past.

### ***Rates and Retentions***

We asked the carriers whether or not they planned rate decreases (or increases) during the upcoming year, and what they expected of their competitors. Most offered their thoughts.

It seems that the general trend to higher rates is missing the Tech E&O market, as many carriers expect their competitor's rates to range from a decrease of 5% to an increase of 5%. When reporting on their own rates, a similar range was reported. If rates are increasing, it is in the larger account market.

The level of retentions or deductibles that carriers are willing to write continues to be flat. Isolated prospective insureds may be able to achieve lower retentions but we don't believe that it represents any type of trend.

However, there is one very large concern that we have: as the continuing attacks on data show, even well-run organizations are vulnerable to data breaches. This will undoubtedly lead to increased Tech E&O claims, increasing retentions, and perhaps constrictions in the market. Will data securi-

# The Betterley Report

---

ty risk become a large source of E&O claims, and if so, what will that mean for the Tech E&O market? It will affect a far wider swath of Tech providers than just the security firms, we are sure of that.

## Target Markets and Prohibited

### Insureds

Unlike most of our surveys, there are significant classes of business that some carriers indicate are prohibited. Problem classes seem to include financial transaction systems, Internet Service providers, adult content providers, security-focused risks, and higher educational institutions.

Read the Target Markets table carefully as a guide to which carriers like (or don't like) certain classes of business, but also keep in mind these can change, and are often subject to reconsideration.

## Capacity and Retentions

Significant liability-limits capacity remains, and reasonable (account appropriate) retentions or deductibles are available. \$25 million can be provided by the carriers focused on the larger insureds, and even the smaller market offers limits of \$5 - 10 million. Higher limits can be arranged through excess markets.

Deductibles or retentions can be quite competitive; the Limits, Deductibles, Coinsurance and Commissions table shows minimums. Lower deductibles are generally available from the carriers offering lower limits, those that may best appeal to the smaller insureds that desire low deductibles.

Carriers are still reluctant to state commissions, but they typically are similar to those paid on traditional commercial lines products.

## Data Privacy

To capture information about the coverages that might be available for any of the three types, we created two simple tables to summarize the type of Privacy coverage offered:

- Coverage Extensions and (sub) Limits Available for Tech E&O Insureds – Privacy Breach of Their Own Data, and
- Coverage Extensions and (sub) Limits Available for Tech E&O Insureds – Privacy Breach of Client Data

The first table presents information about coverage for a breach of the tech provider's own data, and limits available. The second provides information about a breach that involves the provider's client's data while the data are within the provider's control. This is breach response (also known as remediation) coverage, and would apply even if the provider's liability is not involved (or not yet proven).

**Betterley Comment** Carriers, brokers, and most of all, insureds, need to be clearer about the need to distinguish remediation coverage from E&O. Liability shouldn't be necessary for a Tech E&O policy to respond to a breach that involves client data.

## Media Liability

There is another type of coverage being made available on many Tech E&O policies, which is Media Liability coverage. Much as we noted in our Cyber/Privacy/Media Liability Market Survey (June issue), Media Liability coverage is no longer just for traditional media companies. The increasing use of social media in particular is generating a lot of interest in this coverage, and so we added a new table to capture information about the cover-

age and possible limits. It follows the Privacy tables and is entitled Coverage Extensions and (sub) Limits Available for Tech E&O Insureds – Media Liability.

**Betterley Comment** Tech companies are particularly active in social media, making this added coverage especially useful, although perhaps presenting more than a negligible risk to the carrier.

### Policy Features

With the wide variance in coverages included in Tech E&O products, paying close attention to key features is important.

Coverage for liability arising out of the actions of subcontractors while working on behalf of the named insured is generally included in the basic policy form. However, most will not include coverage for the subcontractor itself, unless special arrangements are made.

The definition of Products and Services is critical for proper coverage; the policies define the products and/or services that are covered. There are two different ways this can be done: either the declarations page specifies the products and/or services covered (which comes from the application) or the policy definition itself defines the activities covered. Almost all of the carriers use policy definitions.

Either way, it is critical that the products and/or services of the insured be listed properly or defined as included in the policy. Wording is shown under Definition of Products and/or Services Defined in Policy included in the table Definition of Products and Services.

### Claims Reporting, ERP Options, and Counsel

Each Liability policy reviewed is a claims-made form so Extended Reporting Period (ERP) options are important. All carriers offer a Supplemental Extended Reporting Provision, but they range in length. Carriers will sometimes negotiate additional optional periods and/or cost.

Selection of counsel continues to be a delicate issue with insureds, but as we frequently see in other new lines of coverage, carriers typically reserve the right to select, or at least approve, counsel. In practice, carriers are generally willing to use legal counsel that is satisfactory to both the insured and the insurer.

Carriers offer varying levels of control (or at least, influence) in the selection of counsel, ranging from selection exclusively by the insurer, to the choice of counsel by the insured. As with all questions of counsel choice, we recommend that insureds discuss and agree with their carrier beforehand on the counsel they want to use; as an example, several carriers reserve the right to choose counsel, but indicate prenegotiated counsel will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Generally, carriers can impose the infamous “hammer clause” on lawsuits that an insured may not want to settle. The “softened hammer clause” is making inroads in this market, led by Allied World/Darwin, Argo Pro, Beazley, Euclid, Ironshore, Philadelphia, Safeonline, and Travelers.

**Betterley Comment** Carriers tell us that hammer clauses are rarely wielded (we love that image), but a soft hammer could be a valuable tool for an insured facing a tough E&O claim. We would like to see more carriers offer them. Looks like it’s happening.



## Prior Acts Coverage and Territory

All carriers offer Prior Acts coverage, with previous coverage usually required by all carriers, although many may require previous coverage based upon underwriting criteria.

All carriers offer worldwide coverage if a suit is brought in the U.S., Canada, or possessions. True worldwide coverage (suit brought anywhere) is available from each of the carriers reviewed except Protective Specialty. Technology is a worldwide business, and one of the liability problems is that the legal standards of many countries differ from those of the U.S.

## Exclusions

Rather than try to recite them here, the information for each carrier is found in the Exclusions table. Exclusions are many and varied, as would be expected; please read those tables carefully.

Unlike our other Reports, we have categorized the exclusions into:

- General Insurance exclusions (bankruptcy, dishonesty, intentional acts, expected or intended damages, SEC, unfair competition, piracy, and punitive damages)
- Product-related (product recall, cessation of support, direct property damage, direct bodily injury, loss of property, contingent bodily injury/property damage, prior to customer's acceptance of your work, breach of warranty, and hardware)
- Service and Security-related (contractual liability, cost estimates exceeded, performance delay, security breach, failure to prevent virus passing or data theft)

- Cyber Risk-related (personal injury, advertising injury, intellectual property, and Public Key Infrastructure)

A few comments on some of the exclusions that are specific to Tech E&O:

- Cost estimates exceeded – this refers to exclusions for claims by customers that the cost of a project exceeded the estimate or proposed fee. Carriers do not want to pay claims for poor pricing decisions of their insureds.
- Performance delay – this arises out of the insured's failure to meet project time deadlines, and is included in policies to protect carriers against an insured's overly optimistic promises.
- Security breach or unauthorized access – this is a very important set of exclusions for any insured that offers services related to secure data, including ecommerce. Some carriers will provide coverage if the insured is providing services related to security, while others will include coverage as long as the breach is on the system of others (*i. e.*, not the insured), which is after all the intent of E&O coverage.
- Intellectual property – infringement of patents, copyrights, or trademarks is a source of much litigation, and coverage is rarely available when an insured is sued. Each carrier handles this very differently; read this portion of the *Exclusions* table carefully.
- Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) – the term used to describe technology that enables secure online transactions.

## Risk Management Services

Supplemental loss avoidance and control services are now much more prevalent in Technology E&O, which we approve of, considering the ability of such services to reduce or eliminate losses, for the benefit of both insureds and insurers. Please see our Risk Management Services table for a description of the many services that carriers are including in their offerings to insureds.

**Betterley Comment** Generally, the range of Risk Management Services offered is increasing, a trend we welcome. Insureds and their brokers should look carefully at the services offered, and make use of them to help improve their exposure.

## Summary

Technology E&O is an important coverage line, supporting the risk strategies of a key component of the U.S. (and world) economy. Marketing (smaller tech companies may not buy E&O, large tech companies may not think they need it) and product design (exploding privacy risk the most important) present challenges, as does rate adequacy. Sharp underwriting skills are needed in this line of coverage, as numerous types of business segments seek coverage.

We continue to see signs that the middle market is getting serious about this coverage – carriers

are moving down market, and are beginning to encounter smaller competitors. This presents a real opportunity for significant growth in premium, as well as a way for middle market insureds to economically obtain expertise on how to handle a breach.

Privacy risk is now well recognized and insurance should be seriously considered. While some form of self-insurance or self-retention might make sense for large organizations, especially those with existing captives, the high costs of a breach and the unpredictability of data loss may make risk transfer appealing. Combined with increasing pressure by business partners to show evidence of real coverage, the days of self-funding this risk may be about over.

We always encourage readers and their advisors to carefully consider the type of coverage that they need and whether or not the policy they are considering is really the right answer to their needs. The large carrier offering may not be the best one for them, but then again, the smaller carriers may not offer enough capacity.

Innovation, driven in part by privacy risk concerns, is having a major impact on this line; we look forward to examining its continuing evolution.

# The Betterley Report

---

\*\*\*\*\*

## About The Author



Richard S. Betterley, CMC, is the President of Betterley Risk Consultants, an independent insurance and alternative risk management consulting firm. BRC, founded in 1932, provides independent advice and counsel on insurable risk, coverage, alternatives to traditional insurance, and related services to corporations, educational institutions, and other organizations throughout the U.S. It does not sell insurance or related services.

Rick is a frequent speaker, author, and expert witness on specialty insurance products and related services. He is a member of the Professional Liability Underwriting Society and the Institute of Management Consultants. He joined the firm in 1975.

Rick created The Betterley Report in 1994 to be the objective source of information about specialty insurance products. Now published 6 times annually, The Betterley Report is known for its in-depth coverage of Management Liability, Cyber Risk, Privacy, and Intellectual Property and Media insurance products.

More recently, Rick created The Betterley Report Blog on Specialty Insurance Products, which offers readers updates on and insight into insurance products such as those covered in The Betterley Report. It provides him with a platform to more frequently and informally comment on product updates and newly announced products, as well as trends in the specialty insurance industry. [www.betterley.com/blog](http://www.betterley.com/blog)

# Like What You See in this Executive Summary?



You won't believe the value in the full reports.  
Now Available on **IRMI Online®** and **ReferenceConnect™**

*The Betterley Report* provides insightful insurer analysis on these six markets and coverage lines:



- Cyber/Privacy/Media Liability
- Technology Errors & Omissions
- Employment Practices Liability Insurance
- Side A D&O Liability Insurance
- Private Company Management Liability Insurance
- Intellectual Property and Media Liability Insurance

Each annual report provides a comprehensive review (50 to 175 pages) with numerous exhibits of the critical differences in insurers' coverage, market appetite, and capacity.

**You save valuable time** because *The Betterley Report* has done the groundwork for you, providing practical information in a fully searchable online format.

What do you think this dedicated research team and related market analysis is worth to you and your team? Well, you are going to be pleasantly surprised when you see how we've priced it for you.



**Agents and Brokers**—Sell more and grow revenue by pinpointing errors in competitors' policies/proposals.

**Risk Managers and Insurance Buyers**—Identify, eliminate, or avoid coverage gaps with coverage comparison charts.

**Underwriters**—Research competitors with quick policy comparisons.

**Attorneys**—Keep up with year-to-year trends in policy form development.

**Consultants**—Identify markets and match them up to your clients' needs.

See more  
benefits and read  
Executive Summaries  
of each report at  
[www.IRMI.com/Go/3](http://www.IRMI.com/Go/3).

## The Betterley Report

---

*The Betterley Report*, your independent guide to specialty insurance products, is a series of six comprehensive reports published annually. Each report exhaustively reviews a single hot specialty insurance product, providing essential information such as:

- Who are the leading carriers?
- Complete contact information
- Target and prohibited markets
- Capacity, deductibles, and commission ranges
- Sample premiums (where available)
- Critical coverage and claims differences
- Exclusionary language
- Risk management services

*The Betterley Reports* are produced annually, and range from 50 to 175 pages in length. Current analyses include:

- Cyber and Privacy Risk Policies
- Technology Risk Insurance
- Employment Practices Liability Insurance (EPLI)
- Private Company Management Liability
- Side A D & O Liability
- Intellectual Property and Media Liability

*The Betterley Reports* are a huge timesaver for busy risk management professionals who need to be up-to-date on insurance products for their clients. Need to identify and evaluate the coverage, capacity and contacts for your clients? Need the best analysis of leading edge insurance products? We've done the ground work for you!

*The Betterley Report* is distributed by International Risk Management Institute, Inc. (IRMI) and may be accessed by subscribers on IRMI Online. To purchase a subscription, call IRMI Client Services at (800) 827-4242 or [learn more on IRMI.com](http://www.irmi.com).

Betterley Risk Consultants is an independent insurance and alternative risk management consulting firm. Founded in 1932, it provides independent advice and counsel to corporations, educational institutions, and other organizations throughout the U.S. It does not sell insurance nor provide insurance-related services.

Betterley Risk Consultants, Inc.  
Thirteen Loring Way • Sterling, Massachusetts 01564-2465  
Phone (978) 422-3366 • Fax (978) 422-3365  
Toll Free (877) 422-3366  
e-mail [rbetterley@betterley.com](mailto:rbetterley@betterley.com)

The editor has attempted to ensure that the information in each issue is accurate at the time it was obtained. Opinions on insurance, financial, legal, and regulatory matters are those of the editor and others; professional counsel should be consulted before any action or decision based on this matter is taken. Note: all product names referred to herein are the properties of their respective owners.

*The Betterley Report* is published six times yearly by Betterley Risk Consultants, Inc. This material is copyrighted, with all rights reserved. ISSN 1089-0513